UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ĺ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/734,213	12/15/2003	Isabelle Bacon	2993-492US RM/bs	4796	
	32292 OGILVY REN	7590 04/18/2007 AULT LLP (PWC)		EXAM	INER	
	1981 MCGILL	COLLEGE AVENUE		JOHNSON, JONATHAN J		
	SUITE 1600 MONTREAL,	QC H3A 2Y3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CANADA			1725		
_	·					
l	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER'	DELIVERY MODE	
	3 MO	NTHS	04/18/2007	DAD	ED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/734,213	BACON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan Johnson	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	ION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja 2a) This action is FINAL 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8,11,12 and 15-24 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6, 8, 11-12, and 15-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d) .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicity documents have been received in Received in Received in Received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	Date	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-6, 8,11,12,15,16,17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. With respect to claims 1 and 8, applicant has no support in the specification for the limitation "no extrusion of the stump portion occurs during said forging" or "forging a substantially extrusion-free stump portion on the blank."

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "butt-like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed, thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8, 11-12, and 15-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

FR 2739658 (Broust) in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Broust teaches a gas turbine compressor having a stump portion, where the stump portion has a height not greater than the diameter of the stump portion, with the axis of rotation the stump portion extending from the back face and a metal stub shaft by friction welding in an end to end relationship, which is concentric with the stump portion (figure 2, item 16); having a billet of substantially the same diameter of the stump (figure 2, item 16); where the stump has a height measured from the back fame and where the height is selected to optimize the mechanical work done on the body while minimizing extrusion in the region (figure 2, item 16); where the height and diameter are the same (figure 2, item 16); and heat treating and deflashing (page 3).

AAPA teach forging, heat treating, and removing the weld flashing the gas turbine compressor part or blank and the desirability of using IMI 834; and then machining the radially extending blades in the blank (paragraphs 1-7) in addition to joining an impeller to a stub (figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the process of Broust to utilize the manufacturing treatment steps in order to maintain good mechanical properties at high temperatures and to utilize the claimed alloy in order to have

high fatigue strengths and to utilize the claimed stump portion size in order to ensure shorten manufacturing time (see AAPA paragraphs 2 and 6).

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues the prior art does not explicitly teach eliminating extrusion. The examiner agrees. The examiner would like to note, however, that the prior art still meets the claimed limitation. In particular, for example, with respect to claim 1, AAPA teaches forging and no additional or subsequent processes of the compressor part. This suggests that no additional or subsequent processes occur (including extrusion) during or after the forging step. When the examiner has reason to believe language asserted to be critical for establishing novelty in claimed subject matter may, in fact be an inherent characteristic of the prior art, the burden of proof is shifted to the applicant to prove that the subject matter shown in the prior art does not possess the characteristics relied upon. *In re Fitzgerald et al.* 205 USPQ 594.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1177. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 371)-272-1000.

> Jonathan Johnson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1725